Introduced by Assembly Member Grove

March 11, 2015

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 45—Relative to state government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 45, as introduced, Grove. State agency budgets: legislative review: zero-based budgeting.

This measure would state the intent of the Legislature to conduct an in-depth review of the budgets of the 40 largest budgets of state agencies and departments over a period 5 years using a zero-based budgeting approach, as specified. The measure would also request that the the first 8 state agencies and departments selected for review provide specified information to the Assembly Committee on Budget and the Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review before June 30, 2016.

Fiscal committee: yes.

- 1 WHEREAS, Article IV of the California Constitution provides
- 2 that it is the responsibility of the Legislature to oversee the
- operations of the executive branch and its various agencies and
 departments; and
- WHEREAS, Article IV further provides that it is the responsibility of the Legislature to develop a budget for the State of California; and
- 8 WHEREAS, A zero-based budgeting approach is one in which
- 9 agency and department budgets are evaluated on program-level
- 10 efficiencies, instead of only marginal changes; and

2 **ACR 45**

1

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

WHEREAS, In recent years, the National Conference of State 2 Legislatures has reported that 17 states have used zero-based 3 budgeting in some form and several more have made serious efforts 4 to do so; and

WHEREAS, The National Conference of State Legislatures indicates that states such as Idaho have successfully used zero-based budgeting as a management tool as well as a budgetary tool; and

WHEREAS, The State of Washington, among others, successfully used a variant of zero-based budgeting starting in 2002 to align expenditures with desired outcomes and balanced the budget of the State of Washington without tax increases; and

WHEREAS, The elected representatives of the Legislature have a duty to guard taxpayer dollars against waste, fraud, and abuse; and

WHEREAS, The largest 40 state agency and department budgets account for more than one-half of the total budget for the State of California; and

WHEREAS, The largest 40 state agency and department budgets include all entities expending more than \$100 million in state funds; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by The Assembly of The State of California, The Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature intends to conduct an in-depth review, over a period of 5 years, of the 40 largest budgets of state agencies and departments with state positions; and be it

Resolved, That the Legislature intends to use a zero-based budgeting approach during this review; and be it further

Resolved. That the 40 largest budgets of state agencies and departments with state positions will be identified by the Legislature on or before June 30, 2015, based on amounts allocated to these agencies in the 2014–15 budget; and be it further

Resolved, That the Legislature will choose the first eight agencies and departments for review before the 2015 Interim Study Recess; and be it further

Resolved, That those eight agencies and departments are requested, before June 30, 2016, to provide to the Assembly Committee on Budget and the Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review a complete list of logical "decision units" within an agency's budget, which are programs, activities, or _3_ ACR 45

organizational entities. For each of these units, the agencies and departments are requested to provide all of the following:

- (a) A proposed minimum level of funding for viability for the 2017–18 fiscal year.
- 5 (b) Current expenditures.

4

8

- 6 (c) Program goals that can be quantified, including any goals that are required by citations of existing law.
 - (d) Progress toward those goals; and be it further
- 9 Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies
- 10 of this resolution to the author for appropriate distribution.